

DATE MAILED:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE FRET NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY BURETINGA PM3170324 KENNETH P GLYNN ELAWHIER SUITE 201 PLAZA ONE ONE ROUTE 12 W FLEMINGTON NJ 08822-1731 ART UNIT 3623 PAPER NUMBER 69/24/98

> Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVICODY ACTION

| ADVISORY ACTION | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| XTI | HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE | | | |
| a) [| is extended to run | or continues to run | from the date of the final rejection | |
| b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is late event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. | | | ailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no an animal animal animal animal rejection. | |
| | The date on which the respo purposes of determining the | nse, the petition , and the fee have been f period of extension and the corresponding | R 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. led is the date of the response and also the date for the amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR utory period for response or as set forth in b) above. | |
| □ A | Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). | | | |
| A to | Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3/2/98 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: | | | |
| 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: | | | | |
| a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not ear presented. | | | proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier | |
| | b. They raise new issues | that would require further consideration ar | d/or search. (See Note). | |
| | c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). | | | |
| | d. They are not deemed appeal. | to place the application in better form for | appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | |
| | e. They present additiona | d claims without cancelling a corresponding | g number of finally rejected claims. | |
| | NOTE: e.g., gener "Circular ar Jines 7-8. | ally archated biscuit | shaped", claim 28, line 4, and radius and length", claim 28, | |
| 2. | Newly proposed or amended the non-allowable claims. | claims would be allo | wed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling | |
| 3. | Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 📈 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: | | | |
| | Claims allowed: | 73-27 | <u>_</u> | |
| | Claims rejected: However; | | | |
| | | overcome the following rejection(s): | | |
| | | | | |
| 4. | The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because | | | |
| 5. [] | presented. | | shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier | |
| The | ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. | | | |
| Otl | ther | | DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATERY SYNAPHER | |

GROUP 3600

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)